# Strong Indecomposability of the Outer Automorphism Groups of Nonabelian Free Profinite Groups

Arata Minamide and Shota Tsujimura

June 2, 2024

#### Abstract

In the present paper, we prove that the outer automorphism groups of nonabelian [topologically finitely generated] free profinite groups satisfy strong indecomposability [i.e., the property that every open subgroup has no nontrivial direct product decomposition].

Key words and phrases: free profinite group; outer automorphism group; strong indecomposability; étale fundamental group; hyperbolic curve; anabelian geometry.

## Contents

In	troduction	1
Notations and conventions		4
1	Preliminaries	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	Computations of various Galois centralizers	9
3	Strong indecomposability of the outer automorphism groups of nonabelian free profinite groups	14
References 2		23

# Introduction

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20E18; Secondary 14H30.

One of the most fundamental objects in the category of infinite nonabelian profinite groups is a free profinite group

 $F_r$ 

of rank  $r \ge 2$ . With regard to the noncommutativity of  $F_r$ , the following fact is well-known [cf. [1], Proposition 8]:

Fact 1: The center of  $F_r$  is trivial.

Here, we note that the "discrete analogue" of Fact 1 — i.e., the center-freeness of free [discrete] groups of rank r — is relatively easy to prove by using explicit descriptions of elements as "words". On the other hand, since we do not have such nice descriptions of [general] elements  $\in F_r$ , one cannot apply any similar argument to the argument [using the notion of "words"] applied in the proof of the discrete analogue of Fact 1 to prove Fact 1. [In fact, in the proof of of [1], Proposition 8, cohomology theory of free pro-p groups is applied.] This situation suggests that,

in general, the study of free profinite groups is much more difficult than the study of free [discrete] groups.

In the present paper, we study the group structure of the outer [continuous] automorphism group

 $\operatorname{Out}(F_r),$ 

which is much more complicated than  $F_r$ . [We recall that  $\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$  admits a natural structure of profinite group — cf. [14], Corollary 4.4.4.] Here, we note that although  $F_r$  is topologically finitely generated,  $\operatorname{Out}(F_r)$  is not topologically finitely generated [cf. [14], Theorem 4.4.9] — this fact lies in stark contrast to the fact that the outer automorphism group of a free [discrete] group of rank r is finitely generated [cf. [7], §3.5, Theorem N1]. One of the most interesting aspects of the present paper is that,

in our study of  $Out(F_r)$ , we apply results in anabelian geometry for hyperbolic curves over number fields/finite fields.

In fact, by applying a result in anabelian geometry for hyperbolic curves over finitely generated fields of characteristic 0, the following fact — which concerns the noncommutativity of  $Out(F_r)$  — has been proved by Tamagawa [cf. [16], Theorem 7.4]:

Fact 2: The center of  $Out(F_r)$  is trivial.

In the following, let  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  be an algebraic closure of the field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$ ;  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a number field; Z a hyperbolic curve of genus 0 over K. Write **\mathfrak{Primes}** for the set of prime numbers;  $G_K \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$ ;  $Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z \times_K \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ ;  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}$  for the the étale fundamental group of  $Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  [relative to a suitable choice of basepoint].

[Here, we recall that  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}$  is a nonabelian free profinite group!] For any nonempty subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ , write

$$\rho_Z^{\Sigma}: G_K \to \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma})$$

for the natural pro- $\Sigma$  outer Galois representation [cf. Definition 2.1] — where we write  $(-)^{\Sigma}$  for the maximal pro- $\Sigma$  quotient of (-). We are now ready to state our main results [cf. Theorem 3.2; Corollary 3.5], which also concern the noncommutativity of  $Out(F_r)$ :

**Theorem A.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be a subset such that either  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$  or  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite [where we write  $\sharp \Box$  for the cardinality of  $\Box$ ];

$$G \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma})$$

a closed subgroup such that

- G contains an open subgroup of  $\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K)$ ;
- there exists a prime number  $l \in \Sigma$  such that the image of G via the natural surjection [cf. [14], Proposition 4.5.4, (b)]

$$\phi_l : \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\{l\}})$$

is slim — i.e., the center of every open subgroup of  $\phi_l(G)$  is trivial.

Then G is strongly indecomposable — i.e., every open subgroup of G has no nontrivial direct product decomposition.

**Corollary B.** Let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer;  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  a subset such that either  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$  or  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  and  $\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  are strongly indecomposable.

We note that for *arbitrary* nonempty subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$ ,  $F_m^{\Sigma}$  itself is strongly indecomposable [cf. [12], Proposition 3.2]. It is not clear to the authors at the time of writing whether or not the assumption on the cardinality of the subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  in Theorem A and Corollary B can be dropped.

Finally, we remark that [in light of a well-known injectivity result of Belyi — cf. [5], Theorem C — together with Remark 3.1.1], as other immediate applications of Theorem A [in the case where one takes " $\Sigma$ " (respectively, "Z") to be **Primes** (respectively, the projective line minus  $\{0, 1, \infty\}$  over K)], one obtains the following assertions:

- (i) The [profinite] Grothendieck-Teichmüller group  $\widehat{GT}$  [cf. [3]; [11], Remark 1.11.1] is strongly indecomposable.
- (ii) The absolute Galois groups of number fields are strongly indecomposable.

Assertion (i) gives an affirmative answer to an open problem posed in a first author's previous work [cf. [8]]. Assertion (ii) is a special case of the fact that the absolute Galois groups of Hilbertian fields are strongly indecomposable — which follows immediately from a theorem of Haran-Jarden [cf. [4], Corollary 2.5].

#### Notations and conventions

**Numbers:** The notation  $\mathfrak{Primes}$  will be used to denote the set of all prime numbers. The notation  $\mathbb{Q}$  will be used to denote the field of rational numbers. The notation  $\mathbb{Z}$  will be used to denote the ring of integers. The notation  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$  will be used to denote the profinite completion of the underlying additive group of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The notation  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  will be used to denote the set of positive integers. We shall refer to a finite extension field of  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a *number field*. If p is a prime number, then the notation  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  will be used to denote the ring of p-adic integers; the notation  $\mathbb{F}_p$  will be used to denote the finite field of cardinality p.

Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be a nonempty subset. Then we shall say that an integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  is a  $\Sigma$ -integer if either n = 1 or every prime factor of n is contained in  $\Sigma$ .

**Sets:** Let *S* be a set. Then we shall write  $\sharp S$  for the cardinality of *S*; Sym(*S*) for the group of automorphisms of *S*;  $\mathfrak{S}_3 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Sym}(\{1,2,3\}).$ 

**Rings:** Let A be a commutative ring. Then we shall write char(A) for the characteristic of A;  $A^{\times}$  for the group of units of A. Let F be a perfect field;  $\overline{F}$  an algebraic closure of F. Then we shall write  $G_F \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ .

**Schemes:** Let *S* be a scheme. Then we shall write  $\operatorname{Aut}(S)$  for the group of automorphisms of *S*. Let *K* be a field;  $K \subseteq L$  a field extension; *S* a scheme over *K*. Then we shall write  $S_L \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S \times_K L$ ;  $\operatorname{Aut}_K(S)$  for the group of automorphisms of *S* over *K*;  $\mathbb{P}^1_K$  for the projective line over *K*.

**Profinite groups:** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be a nonempty subset; G a profinite group. Then we shall write  $G^{\Sigma}$  for the maximal pro- $\Sigma$  quotient of G;  $G^{ab}$  for the abelianization of G [i.e., the quotient of G by the closure of the commutator subgroup of G]; Aut(G) for the group of automorphisms of G [in the category of profinite groups]; Inn(G)  $\subseteq$  Aut(G) for the group of inner automorphisms of G; Out(G)  $\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}$  Aut(G)/Inn(G). If p is a prime number, then we shall also write  $G^{p} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G^{\{p\}}$ ;  $G^{(p)'} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G^{\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \{p\}}$ .

Suppose that G is topologically finitely generated. Then G admits a basis of characteristic open subgroups [cf. [14], Proposition 2.5.1, (b)], which thus induces a profinite topology on the groups  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  and  $\operatorname{Out}(G)$ . Let  $H \subseteq G$  be a closed subgroup. Write  $\operatorname{Aut}^H(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  for the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  consisting of elements that induce automorphisms of H;  $\operatorname{Inn}^H(G) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^H(G)$  for the image of H via the natural surjection  $G \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Inn}(G)$ . Let J be a profinite group. Then we shall refer to a continuous homomorphism  $J \to \operatorname{Aut}^H(G)/\operatorname{Inn}^H(G)$  as an H-outer action of J on G.

**Fundamental groups:** Let S be a connected locally Noetherian scheme. Then we shall write  $\Pi_S$  for the étale fundamental group of S, relative to a suitable choice of basepoint. [Note that, for any perfect field  $F, \Pi_{\text{Spec}(F)} \cong G_F.$ ]

### **1** Preliminaries

In the present section, we recall some basic notions concerning profinite groups and hyperbolic curves and prove certain auxiliary results [cf. Lemmas 1.3, 1.7] which will be applied in §3.

First, we recall basic notions concerning profinite groups.

Definition 1.1 ([12], Notations and Conventions; [12], Definition 3.1) Let G be a profinite group;  $H \subseteq G$  a closed subgroup of G.

- (i) We shall write  $Z_G(H)$  for the *centralizer* of H in G, i.e., the closed subgroup  $\{g \in G \mid ghg^{-1} = h \text{ for any } h \in H\}; Z(G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_G(G).$
- (ii) We shall say that G is *slim* if  $Z_G(U) = \{1\}$  for every open subgroup U of G [or, equivalently,  $Z(U) = \{1\}$  for every open subgroup U of G].
- (iii) We shall say that G is *decomposable* if there exist nontrivial normal closed subgroups  $H_1 \subseteq G$  and  $H_2 \subseteq G$  such that  $G = H_1 \times H_2$ . We shall say that G is *indecomposable* if G is not decomposable. We shall say that G is *strongly indecomposable* if every open subgroup of G is indecomposable.

Remark 1.1.1 Let G be a slim profinite group. Then the following facts are well-known [cf. [12],  $\S0$ ; [8], Lemma 1.6]:

- (i) Every finite normal [closed] subgroup of G is trivial.
- (ii) Let  $H \subseteq G$  be an open subgroup;  $\alpha \in Aut(G)$  an element. Suppose that  $\alpha$  induces the identity automorphism on H. Then  $\alpha$  is the identity automorphism [on G].

Next, we prove a certain group-theoretic lemma which will be applied in §3.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let G be a profinite group;  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  a directed subset of the set of characteristic open subgroups of G — where  $j \ge i \Leftrightarrow G_j \subseteq G_i$  — such that

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} G_i = \{1\}$$

Write  $\phi_i : \operatorname{Out}(G) \to \operatorname{Out}(G/G_i)$  for the natural homomorphism. Then

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi_i) = \{1\}.$$

Proof. Let  $\sigma \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ker}(\phi_i) (\subseteq \operatorname{Out}(G))$  be an element;  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  a lifting of  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Out}(G)$ . For each  $i \in I$ , write  $\tilde{\sigma}_i \in \operatorname{Aut}(G/G_i)$  for the automorphism induced by  $\tilde{\sigma}$ . Then since  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ker}(\phi_i)$ , it holds that  $\tilde{\sigma}_i$  is an inner automorphism.

Let  $\gamma_i \in G/G_i$  be an element which determines the inner automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}_i$ . Write

$$C_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \gamma_i \cdot Z(G/G_i) \subseteq G/G_i$$

Here, we note that, if  $i_1 \ge i_2$   $(i_1, i_2 \in I)$ , then the natural surjection  $G/G_{i_1} \twoheadrightarrow G/G_{i_2}$  induces a map  $C_{i_1} \to C_{i_2}$ . Observe that since  $C_i$   $(i \in I)$  is a finite nonempty set, the inverse limit  $\lim_{i \in I} C_i$  is nonempty. Let

$$\gamma \in \varprojlim_{i \in I} C_i \quad (\subseteq \varprojlim_{i \in I} G/G_i = G)$$

[cf. [14], Corollary 1.1.6] be an element. Then it follows immediately from the various definitions involved that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an inner automorphism determined by  $\gamma$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.2.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let G be a topologically finitely generated profinite group;  $S \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  a finite subset. Then the natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Out}(G) \longrightarrow \prod_{p \in \mathfrak{Primes} \setminus S} \operatorname{Out}(G^{(p)'})$$

is injective.

Proof. Since G is topologically finitely generated, there exists a directed subset  $\{G_i\}_{i \in I}$  of the set of characteristic open subgroups of G — where  $j \ge i \Leftrightarrow G_j \subseteq G_i$  — such that

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} G_i = \{1\}$$

[cf. [14], Proposition 2.5.1, (b)]. Fix such a family. For each  $i \in I$ , let  $p_i \in \mathfrak{Primes} \setminus S$  be such that  $p_i$  does not divide the order of the finite group  $G/G_i$ . Then the natural surjection  $G \twoheadrightarrow G/G_i$  factors through the natural surjection  $G \twoheadrightarrow G^{(p_i)'}$ . Thus, Lemma 1.3 follows immediately from Lemma 1.2.

Next, we recall basic notions concerning hyperbolic curves.

Definition 1.4 Let k be a field;  $\overline{k}$  an algebraic closure of k; X a smooth curve [i.e., a one-dimensional, smooth, separated, of finite type, and geometrically connected scheme] over k. Write  $\overline{X}_{\overline{k}}$  for the smooth compactification of  $X_{\overline{k}}$ over  $\overline{k}$ . Then we shall say that X is a smooth curve of type (g, r) over k if the genus of  $X_{\overline{k}}$  is g, and the cardinality of the underlying set — we shall refer to as a cusp of  $X_{\overline{k}}$  any element of this set — of  $\overline{X}_{\overline{k}} \setminus X_{\overline{k}}$  is r. If X is a smooth curve of type (g, r) over k, and 2g - 2 + r > 0, then we shall say that X is a hyperbolic curve over k. Definition 1.5 Let k be an algebraically closed field; Z a hyperbolic curve over k; Q a profinite group;  $q: \Pi_Z \twoheadrightarrow Q$  an epimorphism [in the category of profinite groups].

- (i) Write Z̄ for the smooth compactification of Z over k; K for the function field of Z̄; K̃ for the maximal Galois extension of K, in a fixed separable closure K<sup>s</sup>, unramified over Z; Z̄ for the normalization of Z̄ in K̃; S̃ for the inverse image of S <sup>def</sup> Z̄ \ Z in Z̄. [Note that we have a natural action of Π<sub>Z</sub> ≅ Gal(K̃/K) on Z̄.] Then for each point z ∈ S, we shall refer to as a cuspidal inertia subgroup of Π<sub>Z</sub> associated to z the stabilizer subgroup ⊆ Π<sub>Z</sub> of a point ∈ S̃ lying over z; we shall refer to as a cuspidal inertia subgroup of Q associated to z the image of a cuspidal inertia subgroup of Π<sub>Z</sub> associated to z via q.
- (ii) Then we shall write

$$\operatorname{Out}^{\mathcal{C}}(Q) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(Q) \quad (\text{respectively, } \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Q) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(Q))$$

for the subgroup of outer automorphisms of Q that induce automorphisms (respectively, the identity automorphism) on the set of the conjugacy classes of cuspidal inertia subgroups of Q.

We conclude the present section with a useful lemma [which follows from a similar argument to the argument applied in the proof of [17], Lemma 1.2] concerning outer actions on certain quotients of  $\Pi_Z$ .

Definition 1.6 Let G be a profinite group;  $\Pi$  a topologically finitely generated profinite group such that  $Z(\Pi) = \{1\}$ ;  $G \to \text{Out}(\Pi)$  a continuous homomorphism. Then we shall write

 $\Pi \overset{\rm out}{\rtimes} G$ 

for the profinite group obtained by pulling-back the continuous homomorphism  $G \to \operatorname{Out}(\Pi)$  via the natural surjection  $\operatorname{Aut}(\Pi) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\Pi)$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** In the notation of Definition 1.5, suppose that Q is topologically finitely generated and slim. Let  $J \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{C}(Q)$  be a closed subgroup;  $V \subseteq Q$  an open subgroup. [In particular,  $q^{-1}(V) \subseteq \prod_{Z}$  may be naturally identified with the étale fundamental group of a hyperbolic curve over k.] Write  $\phi_{V}$ :  $\operatorname{Aut}(V) \twoheadrightarrow$  $\operatorname{Out}(V)$ ,  $\phi_{Q}$ :  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(Q)$  for the natural surjections. Then for any sufficiently small open subgroup  $M \subseteq J$ , there exist an outer action of M on Vand an open injection  $V \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Out}} M \hookrightarrow Q \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Out}} J$  such that

(a) the outer action of M preserves and induces automorphisms on the set of the conjugacy classes of cuspidal inertia subgroups of V; (b) the outer action of M on V extends uniquely [cf. the slimness of Q] to a V-outer action on Q that is compatible with the outer action of  $J \ (\supseteq M)$  on Q; the injection  $V \stackrel{\text{out}}{\rtimes} M \hookrightarrow Q \stackrel{\text{out}}{\rtimes} J$  is the injection determined by the inclusions  $V \subseteq Q$  and  $M \subseteq J$  and the V-outer actions on V and Q.

Proof. Write  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  for the index of V in Q;

$$\operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$$

for the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  consisting of elements that induce automorphisms of V, and, moreover, induce automorphisms on the set of the conjugacy classes of cuspidal inertia subgroups of V. First, we note that since  $\operatorname{Inn}^V(Q)$  is an open subgroup of  $\operatorname{Inn}(Q)$  [cf. our assumption that V is an open subgroup of Q], there exists an open subgroup  $M_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$  such that  $M_1 \cap \operatorname{Inn}(Q) = \operatorname{Inn}^V(Q)$ . Next, we consider the set

$$\mathcal{Q}_n \stackrel{\text{der}}{=} \{ \text{all open subgroups of } Q \text{ of index } n \}.$$

Then since  $Q_n$  is a finite set [cf. our assumption that Q is topologically finitely generated; [14], Proposition 2.5.1, (a)], the kernel — which we denote by  $M_2$  — of the natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Aut}(Q) \to \operatorname{Sym}(\mathcal{Q}_n)$$

is an open subgroup of  ${\rm Aut}(Q).$  In particular, it follows immediately from the various definitions involved that

$$M_{\operatorname{Aut}} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}}{=} M_1 \cap M_2 \cap \phi_Q^{-1}(J) \ (\subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Q))$$

is an open subgroup of  $\phi_Q^{-1}(J)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i) 
$$M_{\text{Aut}} \cap \text{Inn}(Q) \subseteq \text{Inn}^V(Q);$$

(ii) 
$$M_{\operatorname{Aut}} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q).$$

Write

$$M_{V} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(M_{\operatorname{Aut}} \stackrel{(\operatorname{iii})}{\hookrightarrow} \operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V) \stackrel{\phi_{V}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \operatorname{Out}(V));$$
$$M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(M_{\operatorname{Aut}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Q) \stackrel{\phi_{Q}}{\twoheadrightarrow} \operatorname{Out}(Q));$$
$$M_{V,\operatorname{Aut}} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(M_{\operatorname{Aut}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q) / \operatorname{Inn}^{V}(Q)).$$

Then we have a commutative diagram of profinite groups

— where the horizontal arrows in the third line are surjective [cf. the definitions of  $M_V$ , M,  $M_{V,Aut}$ ]. Now we verify the following assertion:

Claim 1.7.A: The horizontal arrows in the third line of the diagram  $(\star)$  are bijective.

Indeed, it follows immediately from the commutativity of the diagram

together with the injectivity of  $\operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q) \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  [cf. our assumption that Q is slim; Remark 1.1.1], that  $\operatorname{Aut}^{[V]}(Q)/\operatorname{Inn}^{V}(Q) \to \operatorname{Out}(V)$  is injective, hence that  $M_{V,\operatorname{Aut}} \to M_V$  is bijective. The injectivity of  $M_{V,\operatorname{Aut}} \to M$  follows immediately from the above condition (i). This completes the proof of Claim 1.7.A. In light of Claim 1.7.A, the assertions of Lemma 1.7 follow formally.

### 2 Computations of various Galois centralizers

In the present section, by applying highly nontrivial "Grothendieck Conjecturetype results" [cf. [9], Theorem A; [15], Theorem D] in anabelian geometry, we compute various Galois centralizers. These computations will be applied in §3.

Definition 2.1 Let k be a field;  $\overline{k}$  an algebraic closure of k; Z a hyperbolic curve over k. Then we have an exact sequence of profinite groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}} \longrightarrow \Pi_{Z} \longrightarrow G_k \longrightarrow 1.$$

We shall write  $\rho_Z : G_k \to \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}})$  for the outer representation determined by the above exact sequence. Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be a nonempty subset. Then we shall write

$$\rho_Z^{\Sigma}: G_k \to \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{L}}})$$

for the outer representation induced by  $\rho_Z$ ;

$$\Pi_{Z}^{[\Sigma]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi_{Z} / \text{Ker}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{\Sigma}).$$

Let p be a prime number. If  $\Sigma = \{p\}$ , then we shall also write  $\rho_Z^p \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_Z^{\Sigma}$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** Let l be a prime number;  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  a subset such that  $l \in \Sigma$ ;  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  a  $\Sigma$ -integer;  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a number field;  $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  an open subscheme obtained by forming the complement of a finite subset of K-rational points of  $\mathbb{P}_K^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . [In particular, Z is a hyperbolic curve of genus 0 over K.] Write  $(\mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1 \supseteq) Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \to Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} (\subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^1)$  for the finite étale Galois covering of  $Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of degree n determined by  $t \mapsto t^n$ ;

$$Q \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma} / \text{Ker}(\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}).$$

Then the following hold:

(i) We have a natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}) \to \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Q).$$

(ii) Write  $\rho$  for the composite of natural homomorphisms

$$G_K \xrightarrow{\rho_Z^{\Sigma}} \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}) \to \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Q)$$

[cf. our assumption that all cusps of Z are K-rational]. Then it holds that

$$Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Q)}(\operatorname{Im}(\rho)) = \{1\}.$$

(iii) Let

$$G \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Q)$$

be a closed subgroup such that G contains an open subgroup of  $\text{Im}(\rho)$ . Then G is slim.

Proof. We begin by observing that

the normal open subgroup  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma} \subseteq \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}$  [determined by the finite étale Galois covering  $Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \to Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ ] may be characterized as the normal open subgroup topologically generated by the cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}$  that is not associated to the cusps 0,  $\infty$ , and the [unique] closed subgroups of the cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}$  associated to the cusps 0,  $\infty$ , of index n.

Then assertion (i) follows immediately from this observation, together with the various definitions involved.

Next, we verify assertion (ii). Let  $\sigma \in Z_{\text{Out}^{|C|}(Q)}(\text{Im}(\rho))$  be an element. Then it follows immediately from the above observation that any lifting  $\in \text{Aut}(Q)$  of  $\sigma$  induces an automorphism of  $\Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(Q)$  be a lifting of  $\sigma$  such that the automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}} \in \text{Aut}(\Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})$  induced by  $\tilde{\sigma}$  preserves the  $\Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}$ -conjugacy class of cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}$  associated to the cusp 1. Here, we note that since  $\tilde{\sigma}$  preserves the Q-conjugacy class of cuspidal inertia subgroups of Q associated to the cusp 0 (respectively,  $\infty$ ), and the finite étale Galois covering  $Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \to Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  is totally ramified over the cusp 0 (respectively,  $\infty$ ), it holds that  $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}}$  preserves the  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$ -conjugacy class of cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$  associated to the cusp 0 (respectively,  $\infty$ ). Write

$$\sigma_Y: \Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\Omega}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi^l_{Y_{\overline{\Omega}}}$$

for the outer automorphism determined by  $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l})$ . Observe that since the outer action of  $G_{K}$ , together with  $\sigma_{Y}$ , on  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$  preserves the  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$ conjugacy class of cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$  associated to the cusp 1, it follows from our assumption that  $\sigma \in Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathbb{C}|}(Q)}(\operatorname{Im}(\rho))$  that  $\sigma_{Y}$  commutes with the outer action of  $G_{K}$  on  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$ . Then it follows from the Grothendieck Conjecture [cf. [9], Theorem A] that  $\sigma_{Y}$  arises from a unique isomorphism  $f: Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ of schemes over  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Note that since  $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}}$  induces the identity automorphism on the set of the  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$ -conjugacy classes of cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}$  associated to the cusps 0, 1,  $\infty$ , it holds that f induces the identity automorphism on the subset  $\{0, 1, \infty\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{1}$ . In particular, we conclude that f is the identity automorphism, hence that  $\sigma_{Y}$  is the identity outer automorphism. Recall that the automorphism  $\tilde{\sigma}|_{\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l}} \in \operatorname{Aut}(\Pi_{Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l})$  is the restriction of  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \operatorname{Aut}(Q)$ . Thus, since Q is slim [cf. [12], Proposition 1.4], it follows from Remark 1.1.1, that  $\tilde{\sigma}$  is an inner automorphism, hence that  $\sigma$  is the identity outer automorphism. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we verify assertion (iii). Since every open subgroup of G contains an open subgroup of  $\text{Im}(\rho)$ , to verify assertion (iii), it suffices to show that  $Z(G) = \{1\}$ . But this follows immediately from assertion (ii). This completes the proof of assertion (iii), hence of Lemma 2.2.

The following notion plays important roles in the present paper.

Definition 2.3 Let l be a prime number. We shall say that a profinite group G is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  if there exists an open subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  such that H is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let p be a prime number;  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  a nonempty subset such that  $p \notin \Sigma$ ; k a finite field of characteristic p. In the notation of Definition 2.1, suppose that Z is a hyperbolic curve of genus 0 over k such that all cusps of Z are k-rational. Write  $\rho \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_Z^{\Sigma}$ . Then the following hold:

(i) Suppose that  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite. Then the natural homomorphism  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}) \to \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\tau}}^{\Sigma})$  determines an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\prod_{\overline{Z}_{\overline{k}}})}(\rho(G_k)).$$

(ii) Suppose that either  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$  or  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite. Then, if we write  $\chi_{\Sigma}: \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\Sigma}}^{\Sigma}) \to (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\Sigma})^{\times}$  for the pro- $\Sigma$  cyclotomic character [which is obtained by considering the actions on the cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Z_{\tau}}^{\Sigma}$ , then the natural composite

$$Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{k}}})}(\rho(G_k)) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{k}}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\Sigma}} (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\Sigma})^{\times}$$

is injective.

(iii) Let l be a prime number  $\neq p$ . Then  $Z_{\text{Out}(\prod_{Z_{\overline{L}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k}))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ .

Proof. First, we verify assertion (i). Write  $\operatorname{Out}_{G_k}(\Pi_Z^{[\Sigma]})$  for the group of  $\Pi_{Z_{\tau}}^{\Sigma}$ outer automorphisms of  $\Pi_Z^{[\Sigma]}$  that lie over  $G_k$  [cf. Definition 2.1]. Then since  $\Pi_{Z_k}^{\Sigma}$  is center-free [cf. [12], Proposition 1.4], it is well-known that the natural homomorphism [\[\]]

$$\operatorname{Out}_{G_k}(\Pi_Z^{[\Sigma]}) \to Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\tau}}^{\Sigma})}(\rho(G_k))$$

is an isomorphism [cf. [16], Lemma 7.1]. On the other hand, since  $G_k$  is abelian, it follows immediately from [15], Theorem D, together with the definition of  $\operatorname{Out}_{G_k}(\Pi_Z^{[\Sigma]})$ , that

$$\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}/Z) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Out}_{G_k}(\Pi_Z^{[\Sigma]}),$$

where  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}/Z) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}})$  denotes the subgroup consisting of automorphisms of  $Z_{\overline{k}}$  that induce automorphisms of Z compatible with the natural morphism  $Z_{\overline{k}} \xrightarrow{\sim} Z$ . Next, we verify the following assertion:

Claim 2.4.A: The inclusion  $\operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}/Z) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}})$  is bijective.

Indeed, let  $\alpha \in \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}})$  be an element;  $\sigma \in G_k (\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}))$ . Then since  $G_k$ is abelian, it follows that

$$\gamma \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sigma \circ \alpha \circ \sigma^{-1} \circ \alpha^{-1} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\overline{k}}(Z_{\overline{k}}).$$

Next, we note that  $\gamma$  induces the identity automorphism on the set of cusps of  $Z_{\overline{k}}$ . Thus, we conclude that  $\gamma = 1$ , hence that  $\alpha$  induces a unique automorphism  $\in \operatorname{Aut}(Z)$  compatible with the natural morphism  $Z_{\overline{k}} \to Z$ . This completes the proof of Claim 2.4.A.

Thus, by applying Claim 2.4.A, we obtain a natural isomorphism

$$\phi : \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{\Sigma})}(\rho(G_k)).$$

This completes the proof of assertion (i).

Next, we verify assertion (ii). If  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$ , then the desired conclusion follows immediately from the latter half of the proof of [13], Proposition 2.2.4. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite. Write  $\operatorname{Aut}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Z_{\overline{k}}) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(Z_{\overline{k}})$  for the subgroup of automorphisms of  $Z_{\overline{k}}$  that induce the identity automorphisms on the set of cusps of  $Z_{\overline{k}}$ . Then  $\phi$  induces a composite

$$\operatorname{Aut}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Z_{\overline{k}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{\Sigma})}(\rho(G_k)) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\Sigma}} (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\Sigma})^{\times}.$$

Observe that this composite factors as the composite of the natural injection  $\operatorname{Aut}^{|\mathbb{C}|}(Z_{\overline{k}}) \hookrightarrow G_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  with the pro- $\Sigma$  cyclotomic character  $G_{\mathbb{F}_p} \to (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\Sigma})^{\times}$  [which is injective — cf. [2], Théorème 1]. Thus, we conclude that the natural composite

$$Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{k}}})}(\rho(G_{k})) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{k}}}) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\Sigma}} (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\Sigma})^{\times}$$

is injective. This completes the proof of assertion (ii).

Finally, we verify assertion (iii). We begin by observing that since the image of the *l*-adic cyclotomic character  $G_k \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$  is infinite, it follows from [10], Corollary 2.7, (i), that

$$Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k})) = Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{C}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k})).$$

Moreover, we observe that since  $\operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})$  is open in  $\operatorname{Out}^{C}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})$ , it holds that  $Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k}))$  is open in  $Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k}))$ . Thus, to verify assertion (iii), it suffices to show that  $Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k}))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ . On the other hand, since  $\rho(G_{k})$  is an infinite abelian group [cf. [8], Lemma 4.2, (iv)], we conclude immediately from assertion (ii) that  $Z_{\operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{k}}}^{l})}(\rho(G_{k}))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ , as desired. This completes the proof of assertion (iii), hence of Lemma 2.4.

Remark 2.4.1 It is natural to pose the following question:

Question: In the notation of Lemma 2.4, (i), (ii), can the assumptions on the cardinality of the subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be dropped?

However, at the time of writing the present paper, the authors do not know whether the answer to this question is affirmative or not.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let l be a prime number;  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a number field. In the notation of Definition 2.1, suppose that k = K, and Z is a hyperbolic curve over K. Then every open subgroup  $U \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\rho_Z^l)$  is nonabelian.

Proof. Let us recall that, since the image of the *l*-adic cyclotomic character  $G_K \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$  is infinite, it holds that  $\operatorname{Im}(\rho_Z^l)$  is infinite [cf. [8], Lemma 4.2, (iv)], hence that U is infinite. Write  $K' \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  for the finite extension of K determined by U. Suppose that U is abelian. Then since  $U \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)}(U)$ , the centralizer  $Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)}(U)$  is infinite. However, since  $\operatorname{Aut}_{K'}(Z_{K'})$  is finite, this contradicts the Grothendieck Conjecture for hyperbolic curves over number fields [cf. [9], Theorem A]. Thus, we conclude that U is nonabelian. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.5.

# 3 Strong indecomposability of the outer automorphism groups of nonabelian free profinite groups

In the present section, by applying the results obtained in  $\S2$ ,  $\S3$ , we prove the main results [cf. Theorem 3.2; Corollary 3.5] of the present paper.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be a nonempty subset;  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a number field. In the notation of Definition 2.1, suppose that k = K, and Z is a hyperbolic curve of genus 0 over K. Let

$$G \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\alpha}}}^{\Sigma})$$

be a closed subgroup such that

- G contains an open subgroup of  $\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K)$ ;
- there exists a prime number l ∈ Σ such that the image of G via the natural surjection [cf. [14], Proposition 4.5.4, (b)]

$$\phi_l : \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^l)$$

is slim;

• there exist normal closed subgroups  $G_1 \subseteq G$  and  $G_2 \subseteq G$  such that  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ .

Then

(a) 
$$\phi_l(G_1) = \{1\}$$
 and  $G_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$ , or  
(b)  $\phi_l(G_2) = \{1\}$  and  $G_2 \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$ 

#### holds.

Proof. First, by replacing K by a finite extension of K, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K) \subseteq G$ , and all cusps of Z are K-rational. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of the ring of integers of K such that

- the characteristic of the residue field at **p** is not equal to *l*, and
- Z has good reduction at **p**;

 $F \in G_K$  a lifting of the Frobenius element at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We shall write,

- for each i = 1, 2,  $pr_i : G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$  for the natural projection;
- $J \subseteq G_K$  for the closed subgroup topologically generated by F, where we note that J is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ ;

- $I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_Z^{\Sigma}(J) \subseteq G;$
- $I_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{pr}_1(I) \times \{1\} \subseteq G_1 \times G_2 = G, I_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\} \times \operatorname{pr}_2(I) \subseteq G_1 \times G_2 = G.$

Here, we note that, since I is abelian, it holds that

$$I \subseteq I_1 \times I_2 \subseteq Z_G(I),$$

hence that

$$\phi_l(I) \subseteq \phi_l(I_1) \cdot \phi_l(I_2) \subseteq Z_{\phi_l(G)}(\phi_l(I)) \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\alpha}}}^l)}(\phi_l(I)).$$

Moreover, we note that since Z has good reduction at  $\mathfrak{p}$ , it follows immediately from the theory of specialization isomorphism, that

- $Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{-}}^{l})}(\phi_{l}(I))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$  [cf. Lemma 2.4, (iii)], and
- $\phi_l(I)$  is infinite [cf. [8], Lemma 4.2, (iv)].

In particular, it holds that  $\phi_l(I_1)$  is infinite, or  $\phi_l(I_2)$  is infinite. We may assume without loss of generality that

$$\phi_l(I_2)$$
 is infinite.

Observe that since  $Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l})}(\phi_{l}(I))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$ , it holds that  $\phi_{l}(I_{2}) \cap \phi_{l}(I) \subseteq \phi_{l}(I)$  is an open subgroup. Then since  $G_{1} \subseteq Z_{G}(I_{2})$ , there exists an open subgroup  $^{\dagger}I \subseteq I$  such that

$$\phi_l(G_1) \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{O}}}^l)}(\phi_l(^{\dagger}I)).$$

Now suppose that  $\phi_l(G_1)$  is infinite. Then since  $\phi_l(I) \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)}(\phi_l({}^{\dagger}I))$ , and, moreover,  $Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)}(\phi_l({}^{\dagger}I))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_l$  [cf. Lemma 2.4, (iii)], it holds that  $\phi_l(G_1) \cap \phi_l(I) \subseteq \phi_l(I)$  is an open subgroup. On the other hand, since  $G_2 \subseteq Z_G(G_1)$ , there exists an open subgroup  ${}^{\ddagger}I \subseteq {}^{\ddagger}I \ (\subseteq I)$  such that

$$\phi_l(G_2) \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{n}}}^l)}(\phi_l({}^{\ddagger}I))$$

In summary, we have

$$\rho_Z^l(G_K) = \phi_l(\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K)) \subseteq \phi_l(G) = \phi_l(G_1) \cdot \phi_l(G_2) \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\alpha}}}^l)}(\phi_l({}^{\ddagger}I)).$$

Then since  $Z_{\text{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{l})}(\phi_{l}(^{\ddagger}I))$  is almost  $\mathbb{Z}_{l}$  [cf. Lemma 2.4, (iii)], it follows that there exists an open subgroup  $U \subseteq \rho_{Z}^{l}(G_{K})$  such that U is abelian, a contradiction [cf. Lemma 2.5]. Thus, we conclude that  $\phi_{l}(G_{1})$  is finite. Therefore, since  $\phi_{l}(G_{1}) \subseteq \phi_{l}(G)$  is a finite normal subgroup, it follows from our assumption that  $\phi_{l}(G)$  is slim that  $\phi_{l}(G_{1}) = \{1\}$  [cf. Remark 1.1.1]. Finally, we verify the inclusion  $G_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$ . Write  $\chi_l : \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}) \to \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}$  for the *l*-adic cyclotomic character [which is obtained by considering the actions on the cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}$ ]. Then since  $\chi_l(I)$  is infinite, it follows from [10], Corollary 2.7, (i), that

$$I_1 \times I_2 \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})}(I) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{\operatorname{C}}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$$

In particular, since  $\phi_l(I_1) \subseteq \phi_l(G_1) = \{1\}$ , we have  $I_1 \subseteq \text{Out}^{|C|}(\prod_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$  [cf. [10], Proposition 1.2, (i)]. Thus, we obtain an inclusion

$$I \subseteq I_1 \times I_2^{|\mathcal{C}|} \ (\subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})),$$

where we write  $I_2^{|C|} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} I_2 \cap \text{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$ . Here, we observe that since  $\chi_l$  factors through [the restriction of]  $\phi_l$  [on  $\text{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$ ], it holds that

$$\chi_l(I) \subseteq \chi_l(I_2^{|\mathcal{C}|}) \ (\subseteq \mathbb{Z}_l^{\times}),$$

hence that  $\chi_l(I_2^{|C|})$  is infinite. Therefore, we conclude from [10], Corollary 2.7, (i), that

$$G_1 \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma})}(I_2^{|\mathcal{C}|}) \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{\mathcal{C}}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{Q}}}^{\Sigma}).$$

In particular, since  $\phi_l(G_1) = \{1\}$ , we have  $G_1 \subseteq \text{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})$  [cf. [10], Proposition 1.2, (i)]. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Remark 3.1.1 In the notation of Lemma 3.1, suppose that

$$G \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{\alpha}}}).$$

Then the second assumption on G [concerning the slimness of  $\phi_l(G)$ ] follows automatically from the first assumption on G. Indeed, to verify the slimness of  $\phi_l(G)$ , by replacing K by a finite extension of K, we may assume without loss of generality that Z is an open subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  obtained by forming the complement of a finite subset of K-rational points of  $\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . Then the slimness of  $\phi_l(G)$  follows immediately from Lemma 2.2, (iii).

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be a subset such that either  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$  or  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite;  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  a number field. In the notation of Definition 2.1, suppose that k = K, and Z is a hyperbolic curve of genus 0 over K. Let

$$G \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma})$$

be a closed subgroup such that

- G contains an open subgroup of  $\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K)$ ;
- there exists a prime number  $l \in \Sigma$  such that the image of G via the natural surjection [cf. [14], Proposition 4.5.4, (b)]

$$\phi_l : \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^l)$$

is slim.

Then G is strongly indecomposable.

Proof. We begin by observing that every open subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G contains an open subgroup of  $\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K)$ , and, moreover,  $\phi_l(\Gamma)$  is slim. In particular, to verify Theorem 3.2, it suffices to prove that G is indecomposable. If  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$ , then the indecomposability of G follows immediately from Lemma 3.1. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that

#### $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$ is finite.

Next, by replacing K by a finite extension of K, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K) \subseteq G$ , and all cusps of Z are K-rational. Moreover, we may assume without loss of generality that Z is an open subscheme of  $\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  obtained by forming the complement of a finite subset of Krational points of  $\mathbb{P}^1_K \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ .

Suppose that there exist normal closed subgroups  $G_1 \subseteq G$  and  $G_2 \subseteq G$  such that

$$G = G_1 \times G_2$$

We shall write,

- for each  $\Sigma$ -integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ ,  $(\mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \supseteq) {}^{n}Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \to Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} (\subseteq \mathbb{P}^{1}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  for the finite étale Galois covering of  $Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  of degree n determined by  $t \mapsto t^{n}$ ;
- $Q_{n,l} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma} / \text{Ker}(\Pi_{n_{Y_{\overline{\Omega}}}}^{\Sigma} \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_{n_{Y_{\overline{\Omega}}}}^{l});$
- $\phi_{n,l}$  :  $\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{Q}}}) \to \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(Q_{n,l})$  for the natural homomorphism [cf. Lemma 2.2, (i)].

Note that  ${}^{1}Y_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}} = Z_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}$ , and  $Q_{1,l} = \prod_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{O}}}}^{l}$ .

Next, let us observe that, by applying Lemma 3.1, we may assume without loss of generality that

$$\phi_l(G_1) = \{1\}$$
 and  $G_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma}).$ 

In particular, we have a direct product decomposition

$$G^{|\mathcal{C}|} = G_1 \times G_2^{|\mathcal{C}|} \ (\subseteq \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})),$$

where we write  $G^{|\mathcal{C}|} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G \cap \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}); G_2^{|\mathcal{C}|} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G_2 \cap \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}).$ 

Next, we verify the following assertion:

Claim 3.2.A: For any  $\Sigma$ -integer  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ , it holds that  $\phi_{n,l}(G_1) = \{1\}$ .

Indeed, let  $H \subseteq G^{|\mathbb{C}|}$ ,  $H_1 \subseteq G_1$ , and  $H_2 \subseteq G_2^{|\mathbb{C}|}$  be normal open subgroups such that

- $H = H_1 \times H_2;$
- there exists an injection  $H \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathcal{C}|}(\prod_{n \neq \overline{n}}^{\Sigma});$
- there exists an injection  $\Pi_{{}^{n}Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}} \stackrel{\text{out}}{\rtimes} H \hookrightarrow \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}} \stackrel{\text{out}}{\rtimes} G^{|\mathcal{C}|}$  [cf. [12], Proposition 1.4] that is compatible with the inclusions between respective subgroups  $\Pi_{{}^{n}Y_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma} \subseteq \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}$  and quotients  $H \subseteq G^{|\mathcal{C}|}$

[cf. Lemma 1.7]. Then it follows immediately from Lemma 3.1; Remark 3.1.1, together with [12], Proposition 1.4, that  $\phi_{n,l}(H_1) = \{1\}$  or  $\phi_{n,l}(H_2) = \{1\}$ . Suppose that  $\phi_{n,l}(H_2) = \{1\}$ . Here, we note that since  $Q_{n,l}^l \xrightarrow{\sim} \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l$ , it holds that  $\phi_l$  factors as the composite of  $\phi_{n,l}$  with the natural homomorphism  $\operatorname{Out}^{|\mathbb{C}|}(Q_{n,l}) \to \operatorname{Out}^{|\mathbb{C}|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)$ . In particular,  $\phi_l(H_2) = \{1\}$ . Then our assumption that  $\phi_l(G_1) = \{1\}$  implies that  $\phi_l(G_1 \times H_2) = \{1\}$ , hence that  $\phi_l(\rho_Z^{\Sigma}(G_K)) (\subseteq \phi_l(G))$  is finite. This is a contradiction [cf. [8], Lemma 4.2, (iv)]. Thus, we conclude that  $\phi_{n,l}(H_1) = \{1\}$ , hence that  $\phi_{n,l}(G_1)$  is finite. Therefore, since  $\phi_{n,l}(G_1) \subseteq \phi_{n,l}(G)$  is a finite normal subgroup, it follows from the slimness of  $\phi_{n,l}(G)$  [cf. Lemma 2.2, (iii)] that  $\phi_{n,l}(G_1) = \{1\}$  [cf. Remark 1.1.1]. This completes the proof of Claim 3.2.A.

Write  $\chi_{\Sigma} : \operatorname{Out}^{|C|}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}) \to (\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^{\Sigma})^{\times}$  for the pro- $\Sigma$  cyclotomic character [which is obtained by considering the actions on the cuspidal inertia subgroups of  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}$ ]. Then it follows immediately from Claim 3.2.A that

$$\chi_{\Sigma}(G_1) = \{1\}.$$

For each  $p \in \Sigma$ , write

$$\phi_{(p)'}: \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}) \twoheadrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma \setminus \{p\}})$$

for the natural surjection.

Next, we verify the following assertion:

Claim 3.2.B: There exists a finite subset  $S \subseteq \Sigma$  such that, for each  $p \in \Sigma \setminus S$ , it holds that  $\phi_{(p)'}(G_1) = \{1\}$ .

Indeed, let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a maximal ideal of the ring of integers of K such that

- the characteristic which we denote by p of the residue field at p is contained in Σ, and
- Z has good reduction at p;

 $F \in G_K$  a lifting of the Frobenius element at  $\mathfrak{p}$ . We shall write,

- for each  $i = 1, 2, pr_i : G \twoheadrightarrow G_i$  for the natural projection;
- $J \subseteq G_K$  for the closed subgroup topologically generated by F, where we note that J is isomorphic to  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ ;
- $I \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \rho_Z^{\Sigma}(J) \subseteq G^{|\mathcal{C}|};$
- $I_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{pr}_1(I) \times \{1\} \subseteq G_1 \times G_2^{|\mathcal{C}|} = G^{|\mathcal{C}|}, I_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{1\} \times \operatorname{pr}_2(I) \subseteq G_1 \times G_2^{|\mathcal{C}|} = G^{|\mathcal{C}|}.$

Then since I is abelian, it holds that

$$I \subseteq I_1 \times I_2 \subseteq Z_{G^{|\mathcal{C}|}}(I).$$

Then it follows immediately from Lemma 2.4, (ii), together with the theory of specialization isomorphism, that our assumption that  $\chi_{\Sigma}(I_1) \subseteq \chi_{\Sigma}(G_1) = \{1\}$  implies that  $\phi_{(p)'}(I_1) = \{1\}$ . In particular,  $\phi_{(p)'}(I) \subseteq \phi_{(p)'}(I_2)$ . Thus, since  $\chi_{\Sigma}(G_1) = \{1\}$ , and  $G_1 \subseteq Z_G(I_2)$ , we conclude from Lemma 2.4, (ii), together with the theory of specialization isomorphism, that  $\phi_{(p)'}(G_1) = \{1\}$ . Observe that there exists a finite subset  $S \subseteq \Sigma$  such that Z has good reduction at any maximal ideal of the ring of integers of K that lies over a prime number  $\in \Sigma \setminus S$ . Therefore, we obtain the desired conclusion. This completes the proof of Claim 3.2.B.

Finally, if we write  $\Sigma' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma$ , then, in light of the injectivity of the composite

$$\mathrm{Out}(\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}) \to \prod_{p \in \mathfrak{Primes} \backslash (\Sigma' \cup S)} \mathrm{Out}((\Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})^{(p)'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \prod_{p \in \Sigma \backslash S} \mathrm{Out}(\Pi^{\Sigma \backslash \{p\}}_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})$$

[cf. Lemma 1.3; the fact that  $\sharp(\Sigma' \cup S)$  is finite], we conclude from Claim 3.2.B that  $G_1 = \{1\}$ , hence that G is indecomposable. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.2.1 It is natural to pose the following question:

Question: In the notation of Theorem 3.2, can the assumption on the cardinality of the subset  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  be dropped?

However, at the time of writing the present paper, the authors do not know whether the answer to this question is affirmative or not.

**Corollary 3.3.** In the notation of Theorem 3.2, suppose that G is slim. Then  $\Pi_{Z_{\overline{\Omega}}}^{\Sigma} \rtimes G$  is strongly indecomposable.

Proof. First, since  $\prod_{Z_{\overline{Q}}}^{\Sigma}$  is center-free [cf. [12], Proposition 1.4], we have an exact sequence of profinite groups

$$1 \longrightarrow \Pi^{\Sigma}_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}} \longrightarrow \Pi_{Z_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}} \overset{\mathrm{out}}{\rtimes} G \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1.$$

Thus, since G is infinite, we conclude from Theorem 3.2, together with [8], Proposition 1.8, (i); [12], Proposition 1.4; [12], Proposition 3.2, that  $\prod_{Z_{\overline{Q}}}^{\Sigma} \rtimes^{\text{out}} G$  is strongly indecomposable. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.3.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $m \ge 2$  be an integer;  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  a nonempty subset;  $F_m$  a free profinite group of rank m. Then  $\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  is slim.

Proof. First, we consider the case where m = 2. In this case, we claim the following assertion:

Claim 3.4.A: There exists a closed subgroup  $H_1 \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  (respectively,  $H_2 \subseteq \operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$ ) such that for every open subgroup  $H'_1 \subseteq H_1$  (respectively,  $H'_2 \subseteq H_2$ ), it holds that

$$Z_{\text{Out}(F_{\Sigma}^{\Sigma})}(H'_1) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$$
 (respectively,  $Z_{\text{Out}(F_{\Sigma}^{\Sigma})}(H'_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$ 

Indeed, write  $C_1$  for the projective line minus  $\{0, 1, \infty\}$  over a number field  $K_1 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $(E, \{o\})$  be an elliptic curve [where o is the origin of E] over a number field  $K_2 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that the *j*-invariant of  $(E, \{o\})$  is not equal to 0 or 1728. Write  $C_2$  for the hyperbolic curve over  $K_2$  obtained by removing  $\{o\}$  from E. In particular, [as is well-known] for any finite extension  $K_1 \subseteq L_1 (\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  (respectively,  $K_2 \subseteq L_2 (\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ), we have

 $\operatorname{Aut}_{L_1}((C_1)_{L_1}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$  (respectively,  $\operatorname{Aut}_{L_2}((C_2)_{L_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Thus, since [by applying the Grothendieck Conjecture for hyperbolic curves over number fields — cf. [9], Theorem A] we have a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{L_1}((C_1)_{L_1}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{(C_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})}(\rho_{C_1}^{\Sigma}(G_{L_1}))$$
(respectively,  $\operatorname{Aut}_{L_2}((C_2)_{L_2}) \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_{\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{(C_2)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma})}(\rho_{C_2}^{\Sigma}(G_{L_2})))$ 

we conclude that the image of  $\rho_{C_1}^{\Sigma}(G_{K_1})$  (respectively,  $\rho_{C_2}^{\Sigma}(G_{K_2})$ ) via any isomorphism  $\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{(C_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  (respectively,  $\operatorname{Out}(\Pi_{(C_2)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$ ) determines the desired subgroup. This completes the proof of Claim 3.4.A.

Let us recall that to verify Lemma 3.4, it suffices to show that for every normal open subgroup  $N \subseteq \text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$ , we have

$$Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N) = \{1\}.$$

Now suppose that  $Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N) \neq \{1\}$ . Then since

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N) &\subseteq & Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_1 \cap N) \cap & Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_2 \cap N) \\ &\subseteq & Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_2 \cap N) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \end{aligned}$$

[cf. Claim 3.4A], we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N) &= Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_1 \cap N) \cap Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_2 \cap N) \\ &= Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_2 \cap N) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since  $Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N)$  is normal in  $\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  [cf. our assumption that N is normal in  $\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$ ], we conclude that  $Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_1 \cap N) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$  [cf. Claim 3.4A] admits a normal subgroup of order 2, a contradiction. Therefore, we have  $Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N) = \{1\}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4 in the case where m = 2.

Next, we consider the case where m = 3. In this case, we claim the following assertion:

Claim 3.4.B: There exists a closed subgroup  $H_1 \subseteq \text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  (respectively,  $H_2 \subseteq \text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$ ) satisfying the following conditions:

• For every open subgroup  $H'_1 \subseteq H_1$  (respectively,  $H'_2 \subseteq H_2$ ), it holds that

 $Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H'_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (respectively,  $Z_{\text{Out}(F_{\Sigma}^{\Sigma})}(H'_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ).

• For any  $l \in \Sigma$ , every nontrivial element  $\alpha_1 \in Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H'_1)$  (respectively, the [unique] nontrivial element  $\alpha_2 \in Z_{\text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H'_2)$ ) induces a  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -automorphism  $\overline{\alpha}_1$  (respectively,  $\overline{\alpha}_2$ ) of

 $(F_m^l)^{\rm ab}$ 

such that the rank of the  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -submodule  $\subseteq (F_m^l)^{\mathrm{ab}}$  consisting of elements  $\in (F_m^l)^{\mathrm{ab}}$  fixed by  $\overline{\alpha}_1$  (respectively,  $\overline{\alpha}_2$ ) is 1 (respectively, 0).

Indeed, write  $C_1$  for the projective line minus  $\{0, 1, 3, \infty\}$  over a number field  $K_1 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Let  $(E, \{o\})$  be an elliptic curve over a number field  $K_2 \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  such that the *j*-invariant of  $(E, \{o\})$  is not equal to 0 or 1728, and that E has a non-4-torsion  $K_2$ -rational point x. Write  $C_2$  for the hyperbolic curve over  $K_2$  obtained by removing  $\{x, -x\}$  from E. In particular, [as is easily verified] for any finite extension  $K_1 \subseteq L_1 (\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$  (respectively,  $K_2 \subseteq L_2 (\subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ ), we have

$$\operatorname{Aut}_{L_1}((C_1)_{L_1}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$
 (respectively,  $\operatorname{Aut}_{L_2}((C_2)_{L_2}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ )

[cf. the fact that the  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -automorphism "[-1]" of  $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$  [of order 2] preserves the set  $\{x, -x\}$ ; the fact that if a nontrivial element  $f \in \operatorname{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$  satisfies f(x) = x, then f coincides with the map

$$z \mapsto 2x - z$$

on the set of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ -rational points of  $E_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ , so  $f(-x) \neq -x$ ].

Let  $\alpha_1$  be a nontrivial element  $\in$  Aut<sub>L1</sub>(( $C_1$ )<sub>L1</sub>) that induces an automorphism  $s \in$  Sym({0, 1, 3,  $\infty$ }) of the set of cusps {0, 1, 3,  $\infty$ }. [Here, we note that s may be written as the product of the transposition of two distinct elements  $\in$  {0, 1, 3,  $\infty$ } and the transposition of the other two distinct elements  $\in$  {0, 1, 3,  $\infty$ }.] Write  $\alpha_2$  for the [unique] nontrivial element  $\in$  Aut<sub>L2</sub>(( $C_2$ )<sub>L2</sub>). Then it follows from the well-known structure of the étale fundamental group of a smooth curve over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 that if we identify  $(\Pi_{(C_1)_{\overline{\alpha}}}^l)^{\rm ab}$  (respectively,  $(\Pi_{(C_2)_{\overline{\alpha}}}^l)^{\rm ab}$ ) with the [free]  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -module

 $(\langle a_0, a_1, a_3, a_{\infty} | a_0 + a_1 + a_3 + a_{\infty} = 0 \rangle^l)^{\text{ab}}$ (respectively,  $(\langle b, c, d_x, d_{-x} | d_x + d_{-x} = 0 \rangle^l)^{\text{ab}}$ )

— where we write  $(-)^l$  for the pro-l completion of (-);  $a_\circ$  (respectively,  $d_\circ$ ) corresponds to the cusp  $\circ \in \{0, 1, 3, \infty\}$  (respectively,  $\circ \in \{x, -x\}$ ); b corresponds to a meridian of the "complex torus  $E(\mathbb{C})$ "; c corresponds to a longitude of  $E(\mathbb{C})$  — then  $\alpha_1$  (respectively,  $\alpha_2$ ) induces the  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -automorphism

$$\overline{\alpha}_1 : (\Pi^l_{(C_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Pi^l_{(C_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})^{\mathrm{ab}}; \quad a_0 \mapsto a_{s(0)}, \ a_1 \mapsto a_{s(1)}, \ a_3 \mapsto a_{s(3)}, \ a_\infty \mapsto a_{s(\infty)}$$

(respectively,

$$\overline{\alpha}_2: (\Pi^l_{(C_2)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})^{\mathrm{ab}} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Pi^l_{(C_2)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}})^{\mathrm{ab}}; \quad b \mapsto -b, \ c \mapsto -c, \ d_x \mapsto d_{-x}, \ d_{-x} \mapsto d_x).$$

Now one verifies easily that the rank of the  $\mathbb{Z}_l$ -submodule  $\subseteq (\Pi_{(C_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)^{\mathrm{ab}}$  (respectively,  $\subseteq (\Pi_{(C_2)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ ) consisting of elements  $\in (\Pi_{(C_1)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)^{\mathrm{ab}}$  (respectively,  $\in (\Pi_{(C_2)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}}^l)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ ) fixed by  $\overline{\alpha}_1$  (respectively,  $\overline{\alpha}_2$ ) is 1 (respectively, 0). Thus, Claim 3.4.B follows from a similar argument to the argument applied in the final portion of the proof of Claim 3.4.A.

In light of Claim 3.4.B, for every open subgroup  $N \subseteq \text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$ , we have

 $Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(N) \subseteq Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_1 \cap N) \cap Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H_2 \cap N) = \{1\}.$ 

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4 in the case where m = 3.

Finally, we consider the case where  $m \geq 4$ . In this case, by considering the hyperbolic curve C over a number field  $K \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  obtained by removing  $\{r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_{m+1}\}$  — where  $r_i$  are distinct rational numbers — from  $\mathbb{P}^1_K$  such that  $\operatorname{Aut}_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}(C_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}) = \{1\}$ , it follows from a similar argument to the argument applied in the final portion of the proof of Claim 3.4.A that:

Claim 3.4.C: There exists a closed subgroup  $H \subseteq \text{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  such that for every open subgroup  $H' \subseteq H$ , it holds that

$$Z_{\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})}(H') = \{1\}.$$

In light of Claim 3.4.C, the slimness of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  [where  $m \geq 4$ ] follows immediately. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Remark 3.4.1 Lemma 3.4 in the case where  $m \ge 4$  also follows immediately from [6], Theorem A.

**Corollary 3.5.** Let  $m \geq 2$  be an integer;  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{Primes}$  a subset such that either  $\sharp \Sigma = 1$  or  $\sharp(\mathfrak{Primes} \setminus \Sigma)$  is finite;  $F_m$  a free profinite group of rank m. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  and  $\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  are strongly indecomposable.

Proof. The strong indecomposability of  $\operatorname{Out}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  follows immediately from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4. The strong indecomposability of  $\operatorname{Aut}(F_m^{\Sigma})$  follows immediately from Corollary 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.5.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express deep gratitude to Professor Ivan Fesenko for stimulating discussions on this topic. Part of this work was done during their stay in University of Nottingham. The authors would like to thank their supports and hospitalities. Moreover, the authors would like to thank the participants of RIMS NT/AG Seminar (February 2024) for their questions and comments on the contents of the present paper. The first author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 20K14285, and the second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18J10260. This research was also supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University, as well as by the International Research Center for Next Generation Geometry [a research center affiliated with the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences]. This research was partially supported by EPSRC programme grant "Symmetries and Correspondences" EP/M024830.

## References

- M. P. Anderson, Exactness properties of profinite completion functors, *Topology* 13 (1974), pp. 229–239.
- [2] C. Chevalley, Deux théorèmes d'arithmétique, J. Math. Soc. Japan 3 (1951), pp. 36–44.
- [3] V. G. Drinfeld, On quasitriangular quasi-Hopf algebras and a group closely connected with Gal(Q/Q), Algebra i Analiz 2 (1990), pp. 149–181.
- [4] D. Haran and M. Jarden, Compositum of Galois extensions of Hilbertian fields, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 24 (1991), pp. 739–748.

- [5] Y. Hoshi and S. Mochizuki, On the combinatorial anabelian geometry of nodally nondegenerate outer representations, *Hiroshima Math. J.* 41 (2011), pp. 275–342.
- [6] Y. Iijima, On the centralizer of the image of the universal outer monodromy representation of the moduli stack of pointed hyperbolic curves, *Manuscripta Math.* **173** (2024), pp. 1147–1159.
- [7] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory*, Dover Publications (2004).
- [8] A. Minamide, Indecomposability of various profinite groups arising from hyperbolic curves, Okayama Math. J. 60 (2018), pp.175–208.
- [9] S. Mochizuki, The local pro-p anabelian geometry of curves, *Invent. Math.* 138 (1999), pp. 319–423.
- [10] S. Mochizuki, A combinatorial version of the Grothendieck conjecture, Tohoku Math J. 59 (2007), pp. 455–479.
- [11] S. Mochizuki, On the combinatorial cuspidalization of hyperbolic curves, Osaka J. Math. 47 (2010), pp. 651–715.
- [12] S. Mochizuki and A. Tamagawa, The algebraic and anabelian geometry of configuration spaces, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **37** (2008), pp. 75–131.
- [13] H. Nakamura, Galois rigidity of pure sphere braid groups and profinite calculus, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 1 (1994), pp. 71–136.
- [14] L. Ribes and P. Zaleskii, Profinite groups, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 3, Springer-Verlag (2000).
- [15] M. Saidi and A. Tamagawa, A refined version of Grothendieck's anabelian conjecture for hyperbolic curves over finite fields, J. Algebraic Geom. 27 (2018), pp. 383–448.
- [16] A. Tamagawa, The Grothendieck conjecture for affine curves, *Compositio Math.* 109 (1997), pp. 135–194.
- [17] S. Tsujimura, Combinatorial Belyi cuspidalization and arithmetic subquotients of the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 56 (2020), pp. 779–829.

(Arata Minamide) Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Email address: minamide@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

(Shota Tsujimura) Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

Email address: stsuji@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp